

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,273	09/05/2000	C. Douglass Thomas	CDTP006	8031
75	90 04/07/2004		EXAMINER	
C Douglass Thomas			RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
1193 Capri Driv Campbell, CA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2175	γ
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
			1.450		
Office Action Summary	09/655,273	THOMAS, C. DOUG			
	Examiner	Art Unit	,		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Sam Rimell	2175 with the correspondence addre	ess		
Period for Reply		,			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommended for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	· nunication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the sheet of the shee	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Sta	age,		
Attachment(s)	·	SAM RI PRIMARY E			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)		

Application/Control Number: 09/655,273 Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-12, 16-17, 19-20 and 22-31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freivald et al. (U.S. Patent 5,898,836) in view of Glogau (U.S. Patent 5,983,351)

Preliminary Note: The first sequentially numbered independent claim is claim 19.

Accordingly, claim 19 shall be addressed first, followed by the remaining claims in sequential order.

Claim 19: Col. 6, lines 49-50 of Freivald et al. outline the steps of registering a web page document and periodically refetching the document. The re-fetched document is compared to the originally registered document. The result of the comparison is a change indication (a change in a calculated CRC value, col. 6, lines 60-67). In response to a sufficient degree of change in the CRC value, a determination is made for the need of an update action. The update action may a correction links on the stored page (col. 13, line 65 through col. 14, line 10).

Freivald et al. differs in that it does not disclose the websites as having any copyright registration or the steps of updating a United States copyright registration.

However, Glogau et al. teaches the general principle that a website may be copyrighted. In addition, Glogau teaches the concept of reviewing website content and then subsequently generating the form to initiate a United States copyright registration.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,273

Art Unit: 2175

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Freivald et al. to incorporate copyright registered documents in order to facilitate the protection of the intellectual property in those documents. In would further have been obvious to modify Freivald et al. to add a copyright registration functionality to the web management system of Freivald et al. in order to provide intellectual property protection to the reviewed web documents as taught by Glogau.

Claim 6: Freivald et al. discloses the steps of identifying an address location (col. 6, lines 49-50); periodically crawling the address to determine content change (col. 6, lines 51-52); and determining a degree of change (col. 6, lines 38-40) as compared to a prior website. When a degree of change exceeds a certain threshold, a determination is made that a certain action must be taken. Glogau et al. teaches that such an action may be a copyright registration.

<u>Claim 7:</u> When the action is taken, a notification to a contact is made (col. 6, lines 65-67 of Freivald et al.).

<u>Claim 8:</u> The notification may be an e-mail notification (col. 6, lines 65-67 of Freivald et al.).

<u>Claim 9:</u> The e-mail notification can include information on the amount of content change that has occurred (col. 12, lines 21-27 of Freivald et al.).

Claim 10: The system of Freivald et al. can indicate where the changes to the document have occurred. For example, changes to specific hyperlinks (col. 13, line 65- col. 14, line 9) on a page can be indicated to the user.

<u>Claim 11:</u> Freivald et al. teaches that when a certain degree of change is detected, a certain action must be taken. Glogau teaches the action to be one of performing a United States copyright registration.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,273

Art Unit: 2175

Claim 12: Glogau teaches that a copyright registration is performed, and that the

registration may be on-line and interactive (col. 7, lines 9-14 of Glogau).

Claim 16: See remarks for claim 12.

Claim 17: Glogau refers to the registration of an entire website and its individual

components (col. 2, lines 46-49). Thus, registering one of these types of works can be designated

as a "previous registration" and the other, a subsequent registration. A registration of a website

will inherently reference at least some of the website components.

Claim 20: See remarks for claim 7.

Claim 22: See remarks for claim 8.

<u>Claim 23:</u> The copyright registration is authorized to be performed when it is initiated.

The steps that initiate the copyright registration are the authorization steps.

Claim 24: See remarks for claim 19. Note that the system of Freivald et al. can initiate

more than just one single copyright registration. Any copyright registration initiated which is

subsequent to some previously initiated registration is a subsequent registration.

Claim 25: See remarks for claim 23.

Claim 26: See remarks for claim 23. There is no apparent patentable distinction between

an authorization and a pre-authorization since the claim does not give any indication of event

sequence (i.e. the claim does not state what the pre-authorization is prior to).

Claim 27: See remarks for claim 7.

Claim 28-29: See remarks for claim 8.

Claim 30: See remarks for claim 9.

Claim 31: See remarks for claim 10.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/655,273

Art Unit: 2175

Remarks

Page 5

Applicant's arguments are primarily to the effect that neither Freivald et al. not Glogau

teach a method or system for updating copyright registration. This arguments has been

considered, but this feature is believed to be taught by the references of record.

Freivald et al. teaches the concept of establishing a change detection tool that crawls web

pages and determines that a certain action must be taken when sufficient change has occurred to

the crawled document. Glogau teaches crawling web documents as well, and further teaches that

a copyright registration is an action that can be taken with respect to such documents.

Examiner maintains that initiating a copyright registration, even if it is the first

registration made to the document constitutes an update to that document.

In addition, Glogau teaches that separate copyright registration can be made for different

parts of the same page. Thus, if a single part of a page is copyright registered, the registration of

the entire page would constitute an update.

Furthermore, Glogau does not disclose a system that "self –destructs" after one attempted

registration. The system of Glogau is clearly capable of making repeated registrations, even of

the same document or document portion.

This office action is made non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at

telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2175